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Combustion products
  - Complex mixture of cancer causing chemicals
Diesel exhaust
Flame retardants
Chronic exposures
Uncontrolled
• Lowest on hierarchy of controls
• Increasingly protective models but still limited
  • SCBA traditionally not used in overhaul to better detect re-ignition potential
  • Not 100% effective
• Dermal absorption
  • Neck, seams
Which Chemicals Cause Cancer?

- The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC)
  - Part of the World Health Organization (WHO)
  - Authoritative agency on cancer causation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 agents (known to cause cancer in humans)</th>
<th>Group 2A agents (probable human carcinogens)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Arsenic</td>
<td>• Creosote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Asbestos</td>
<td>• Wood combustion products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Benzene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Benzo[a]pyrene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• 1,3-butadiene</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Formaldehyde</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dioxin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Soot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Diesel engine exhaust</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/index.php
• Cancer is the second leading cause of death in the United States (after heart disease)
• Develops over years (latency)
• Multiple factors that affect a person’s risk for developing cancer
  • Smoking, diet, genetics/family history, environmental exposures, etc.
• Which cancers are work-related in fire fighters?
  • Human epidemiology studies
    • Compare rates of cancers in fire fighters to non-fire fighter comparison groups or less exposed fire fighters
    • Similar exposures or fire fighter occupation in patients with specific types of cancer
Recent Epidemiologic Studies

• Meta-analysis - research technique combining multiple studies
  • Increased power to detect risk with more participants
  • Quality, consistency of data
• LeMasters, JOEM, 2006
  • Combined data in 32 studies of fire fighters for 20 different cancer types
  • Risks for 10 types of cancer (50%) were significantly increased in fire fighters
  • Risks for the other 10 were increased but did not reach statistical significance
NIOSH Fire Fighter Cancer Study

• US National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)
• One of the largest studies of US fire fighters
• Multi-year pooled historical cohort study started in 2010
• ~30,000 career fire fighters
  • Chicago, Philadelphia, and San Francisco Fire Departments, employed between 1950 and 2009
  • Non-white and female fire fighters included
• Phase I compared fire fighters cancer deaths and diagnoses to the general population (Daniels, OEM, 2013)
  • Increased risks for gastrointestinal, genitourinary and lung cancers
NIOSH Study Phase 2

• Daniels, OEM, 2015
• Detailed work histories of position(s) each fire fighter held and the length of time in the position
  • Cumulative number of fire runs, exposed days, fire run-hours
    • Use of personal protective equipment
    • Use of diesel exhaust controls
  • Very challenging
• Compare cancer risk in higher exposed FF compared to lower exposed
  • Lung cancer and leukemia risk increased with exposure
  • Colon and prostate cancer risk decreased
Nordic Study

• Pukkala, OEM, 2014
• Cohort study of cancer incidence in 16,422 fire fighters from 5 Nordic countries
  • National cancer registries linked to census data on occupation from 1961-2005
• Increased risk for all cancers combined
• Statistically significant increases in specific cancers: melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer, lung and prostate cancer
  • In specific ages: mesothelioma and multiple myeloma in 70+ year olds
  • Did not observe increase in prostate cancer risk after screening started
Which Cancers Are Work-related in Fire Fighters?

• No updated meta-analysis
  • Study quality
• Consider consistency across studies
Challenges in Epidemiologic Studies in Fire Fighters

• Exposure misclassification
• Healthy worker effect
• Small study sizes
• Other cancer risk factors
• NIOSH Phase II study focused on exposure misclassification and healthy worker effect
• Three of these limitations result in underestimation of risk
Presumptive Legislation

• Presumptive legislation does:
  • Remove the burden for proof of causation from the affected fire fighter
  • Allow for individual case evaluation
• Present in > 30 US states, Canadian provinces, Australia

• Presumption legislation does NOT:
  • Guarantee a fire fighter who develops cancer will be covered by workers’ compensation

• IAFF assistance
Cancer Prevention: Screening

• General population recommendations for cancer screening
• Wellness – Fitness Initiative screening modified to reflect increased risk in fire fighters
  • Colon cancer screening example
    • Discussion at age 40 and if screening chosen, fecal occult blood testing until age 50 as lowest risk screening procedure
• American Cancer Society
• Cytology (Pap smear) and human papillomavirus testing
• Mammography
• Colonscopy/sigmoidoscopy or fecal occult blood test
Cancer Screening

• Lung
  • Low-dose computed tomography in those ages 55 to 80 years who have a 30 pack-year smoking history and currently smoke or have quit within the past 15 years
  • Chest X-ray and sputum cytology not helpful
• Depending on a person’s age and gender, physical exams for various cancers
  • Thyroid, oral cavity, skin, lymph nodes, testes
• Certain cancer types do not have an adequate screening test
  • Prostate - “informed decision with their doctor about whether to be tested”
  • Leukemia
Cancer Prevention is Best

• An ounce of prevention really does equal a pound of cure
• Occupational exposure prevention
• Tobacco
  • Cigarette smoking – personal or secondhand
  • Chewing tobacco
  • Range of smoking cessation options
http://www.surgeongeneral.gov/library/reports/50-years-of-progress/exec-summary.pdf; latest cancers causally linked in red
Cancer Prevention

• Diet
  • Food pyramid
    • More fruits, vegetables, and whole grains
    • Less red meat, fried and fatty foods
    • Avoid calorie dense, nutrient poor foods
  • Portion control
• Exercise regularly
  • Lifestyle exercise
• Weight control
• Alcohol in moderation
  • Moderation is key to most prevention
• Sunscreen
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Study team:

Beverly Shen\textsuperscript{1}, Ranjit Gill\textsuperscript{2}, Joginder Dhaliwal\textsuperscript{2}, F. Reber Brown\textsuperscript{2}, Myrto Petreas\textsuperscript{2}, S. Katharine Hammond\textsuperscript{1}, Sharyle Patton\textsuperscript{3}

\textsuperscript{1}University of California, Berkeley, CA
\textsuperscript{2}California Department of Toxic Substances Control, Berkeley, CA
\textsuperscript{3}Commonweal, Bolinas, CA
The Fire Station Dust Study:

1. Analyzed dust from 25 US fire stations to determine levels of PBDEs and OPFRs;

2. Compared FS levels to levels found in other CA fire stations and in other locations
• Station uses vacuum for cleaning
• Station uses one vacuum
• Urban/suburban response areas (does not respond to wildland fires)
• Apparatus bay adjoins living quarters
• Fire station is a permanent structure (not a temporary structure, such as a trailer)
• Has incident data through end of 2013
• Busy fire station (responds to relatively high number of structural, car, residential fires)
• Majority of those residing in station are firefighters
• Firefighters live and work at fire station when on duty
Study team collected dust samples from the vacuum cleaner bags used in the living quarters of the 25 firehouses (five fire stations in each of 5 states).

Each station completed a survey indicating age of fire house, cleaning practices for the stations, fire engine, turn out gear.
## Turn Out Gear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey re</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Designated area for TG</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG stored in enclosed area</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG area is ventilated</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG allowed in living quarters</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum cleaners used for areas other than floor</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparatus bay</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living quarters</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 A. Michael Mullane Health and Safety Symposium
Flame Retardants Tested For in FS Dust

Legacy FRs:
18 PBDEs (polybrominated diphenyl ethers) including:
• BDE-47
• BDE-99
• BDE-209

Emerging FRs
5 OPFRs:
• Tri-n-butyl phosphate (TnBP)
• Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP) (carcinogen - CA Prop 65)
• Tris(1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TCiPP)
• Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCiPP)(carcinogen – CA Prop 65)
• Triphenyl phosphate (TPHP)
Median concentrations (ng/g) of major congener of commercial Deca-BDE by state and compared to FOX.
Study Location and Sample Size

BDE-209

Median BDE-209 Concentration, ng/g

- FSDS
- FOX
- Other occupational settings
- Residences
Median concentration of BDE-47 and -99 by state (ng/g)

Median concentration of BDE-47 and BDE -99 by state

2016 A. Michael Mullane Health and Safety Symposium
Organophosphate Flame Retardants (OPFRs)

- Tri-N-butyl phosphate (TNBP)
- Tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP)
- Tris(1-chloro-2-propyl)phosphate (TCIPP)
- Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate (TDCIPP)
- Triphenyl phosphate (TPHP)
Median Concentration – Emerging FRs

Median concentrations (ng/g) of the OPFR compounds by state.
Study Location, Sample Size - TDCiPP

Median TDCiPP Concentration, ng/g

- **FSDS**, n=26
- **UK, Offices**, n=61
- **NC**, n=49
- **UK**, n=32
- **New Zealand**, n=34
- **Japan**, n=10
Study Conclusions

PBDE and OPFR levels in fire station dust appear higher than other occupational and residential settings. Further studies need to be performed to determine the reasons behind the high levels of chemicals found in fire station dust.

PBDE levels were slightly higher than OPFR levels with PBDE levels measured across five orders of magnitude (1.22 ng/g – 351,000 ng/g) and OPFR levels measured across three orders of magnitude (177 ng/g – 218,000 ng/g).

When PBDE levels were compared to other occupational and residential settings, the fire stations had higher median levels of BDE-99 and BDE-209. OPFR levels did not significantly vary between states.

When OPFR levels were compared to other occupational and residential settings, the fire stations had higher levels of TDCiPP.
PBDEs Toxicity

Neurological:
• Exposure to PBDEs during critical windows of brain development results in decreased memory and learning that worsens with age and is irreversible. (Viberg, 2003) Higher brominated BDEs – impairs spontaneous behaviour and learning and memory functions of adult mice.

• Reproductive System:
• Penta-BDE exposure at levels similar to those found in humans was associated with decreased sperm counts in rodents. Deca-BDE exposure is associated with abnormal sperm function. ((Kuriyama, et al. 2005; Lilienthal, et al. 2005; Tseng, et al. 2006; Ema, 2008)

• Cancer
• Deca-BDE has been associated with an increase in liver tumors and thyroid tumors in rodent studies. US EPA considers decaBDE a possible human carcinogen (http://cfpub.epa.gov/iris/quickview.cfm?substance_nmbr=0035)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FR</th>
<th>Toxicity</th>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td>carcinogen (CA prop. 65)</td>
<td>polyurethane foam, plastics, polyester textiles, resins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNBP</td>
<td>carcinogen</td>
<td>plasticizer, anti-foam agent, lacquers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCIPP</td>
<td>little data on toxicity structurally similar to TCEP</td>
<td>polyurethane foam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDCIPP</td>
<td>carcinogen (CA Prop. 65)</td>
<td>polyurethane foam, plastics, textiles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPHP</td>
<td>neurotoxicity</td>
<td>plasticizer, FF foam, Firemaster 550, linked to prostate cancer, infertility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Possible Exposure Pathways

- On fire ground
- In fire truck/engine
- At fire station
  - Tracking dust in from fire ground
  - Turnout gear storage
  - Live/work environment
“PPE Contamination”

A firefighter training video by the San Francisco United Fire Service Women

Producer/Director – Sharyle Patton
Firefighters’ Chemical Exposures
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Complex Exposure Pathways

- **Source**: where the chemicals originate
- **Composition**: makeup and physical state of the chemicals
- **Transport / contact**: how the chemicals come into contact with the firefighter
- **Intensity**: exposure concentration
- **Duration**: length of the exposure time
- **Absorption route**: how the chemicals enter the firefighter’s body (inhalation, dermal absorption, or ingestion)
- **Dose**: amount of chemical deposited in the firefighter’s body
Potential Sources of Exposure

- Residential fire (photo by IAFF.org)
- Dumpster fire (public domain)
- Vegetation fire (photo by Physics.org)
- Industrial fire (photo by Eastern Daily Express)
- Car fire (photo by NIOSH)
- Training fire (photo by NIOSH)
Composition of Smoke

- Respiratory irritants (acrolein, acids, sulfur dioxide)
- Respiratory sensitizers (isocyanates, aldehydes)
- Chemical asphyxiants (CO, HCN)
- Cardiotoxicants (fine particulate, chemical asphyxiants)
- Carcinogens:
  - Single-ring aromatic hydrocarbons (benzene)
  - Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (benzo[a]pyrene)
  - Aldehydes (formaldehyde)
  - Halogenated compounds (vinyl chloride, PCBs, dioxins)
  - Diesel exhaust

CO = carbon monoxide, HCN = hydrogen cyanide, PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl
Potential for Chemical Contact

* Also during live-fire and simulated smoke training

- Alarm
- Suit up
- Transport to scene
- Establish command
- Size up scene
- Overhaul
- Knockdown
- Doff gear
- Rehab
- Pack up equipment
- Transport back to station
- Maintenance / cleaning

- Knockdown
- Overhaul
Dermal Exposures during Knockdown

- **PAH contamination on wrist, neck, forehead, and back**¹
- **Neck may be especially vulnerable**
  - Significantly higher PAH levels on neck after firefighting²

1. Presentation by Dr. McCarry, McMaster University (2013)
2. NIOSH Report 2010-0156 (2013)

PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

Jeff Stull, RTI study commissioned by IAFF
Dermal Exposures during Knockdown (cont’d)

- Particles/soot that contact the skin can be absorbed\(^1\)
- Some vapors (e.g., benzene) can also be absorbed\(^2\)
- Chemicals absorb faster through thinner skin (e.g., neck)
- Dermal absorption may increase with increasing:
  - Concentration, ambient temperatures, skin temperatures, humidity, and sweat\(^3\)

---

1. VanRooij et al. (1993)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydrocortisone relative absorption(^4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plantar foot arch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral ankle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral forearm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forehead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaw angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrotum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exposures during Overhaul

- **Inhalation exposure possible if respiratory protection is not worn**
  - Compounds > short-term exposure or ceiling limits: Acrolein, CO, formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, benzene, NO$_2$, SO$_2$, PAHs

- **Levels of exposure will depend on:**
  - Time-lapse from suppression to the next activity
  - Amount of natural or mechanical ventilation
  - If materials are still smoldering
  - If there are any dead-air spaces

- **Dermal exposure possible if protective clothing is not worn**

1. Bolstad-Johnson et al. (2000)
2. NIOSH Report 2010-0156 (2013)

CO = carbon monoxide, NO$_2$ = nitrogen dioxide, SO$_2$ = sulfur dioxide, PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
Fireground Exposures

- Sizing up the fire without SCBA
- Exterior operations without SCBA
- Diesel exhaust exposure – Group 1 human carcinogen

* Currently lacking reliable data on fireground exposure levels

1. IARC (2012)
Contaminated Gear & Equipment

- PAHs, phthalates, metals, and flame retardants on turnout gear\textsuperscript{1,2}
- Hose and other equipment contaminated
- Hands especially vulnerable and any body parts touched by the hands
- Contaminated dust in fire stations\textsuperscript{3}

* These exposure pathways have not been well characterize.

* Baby wipes should help to remove skin contamination and lessen absorption, but we do not have quantitative data to support this.

1. UL Firefighter Exposure to Smoke Particulates (2010)
2. Huston et al. (2014)
Off-Gassing Gear

- Volatile compounds will off-gas from gear and can be inhaled
  - Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, styrene, and HCN have been measured at elevated levels (compared to background)
  - Well below short-term exposure limits, but yet another source of exposure\(^1,2\)

* Semi-volatile compounds can off-gas for weeks, months, or even years, but this exposure pathway has not been well characterized.

1. Fent et al. (2015)

HCN = hydrogen cyanide
Training and Other Fires

- **Simulated smoke**: High levels (above short-term exposure limits) of mineral oil mist and/or glycols
- **Simulated smoke and propane burners**: High levels of formaldehyde and acrolein
- **Vehicle fires**: High levels of formaldehyde, acrolein, carbon monoxide, and isocyanates

* Even Class A fuels (pallet and straw) may produce high levels of PAHs, aldehydes, HCN, and CO

1. NIOSH Report 2012-0028 (2013)
3. UL Firefighter Exposure to Smoke Particulates (2010)
5. Feunekes et al. (1997)
Exposure Duration

- Initial exposure period is typically short
- High intensity, short duration exposures may be more hazardous
  - Respiratory irritants, sensitizers, and asphyxiants – can overwhelm the respiratory system
  - Carcinogens – may present greater risk of cancer\(^1\)
  - Particles – can precipitate cardiovascular events\(^2\)
- Can extend beyond the fireground (contaminated gear and equipment)

2. Brook et al. (2004)
Biological Absorption

- Several studies have found elevated levels of contaminants in the body after firefighting (despite use of turnout gear and SCBA)\(^1,2\)
- We found that urinary PAHs and exhaled breath levels of benzene increased with increasing air concentrations of PAHs (in firefighters who wore SCBA throughout the response)\(^3\)
- Dermal absorption was hypothesized as the primary route of entry\(^3\)
- Systemic exposure levels generally similar to occupational groups with low exposures.
- Flame retardants and dioxins

Source | Composition | Transport / contact | Intensity | Duration | Absorption route | Dose
---|---|---|---|---|---|---
1. Caux et al. (2002) |  |  |  |  |  |  
2. Laitinen et al. (2009) |  |  |  |  |  |  

1. Caux et al. (2002)
2. Laitinen et al. (2009)
Knowledge Gaps

- Production of flame retardants and dioxins during residential fires
  - Deposition of these compounds onto turnout gear
  - Biological uptake of these compounds in responding firefighters
- Fire-ground exposure levels
- Effect of attack methods and position on exposure
- Effectiveness of decontaminating turnout gear and its relationship with systemic exposure
- Effectiveness of skin cleaning and its relationship with systemic exposure
- Systemic exposure from routine training fires
Cardiovascular & Carcinogenic Risks Study: Overview and Preliminary Findings

- Bulk sampling of fuel package for flame retardants
- Air sampling from within the structure for flame retardants, HCN, and VOCs
- Air sampling for VOCs and particulate in the fireground
- Testing surfaces of turnout gear for flame retardants and PAHs (before and after decon)
- Sampling HCN and VOCs off-gassing from used turnout gear

* Conducted a variety of other testing (e.g., biological monitoring), but results are still pending and will not be discussed here

VOC = volatile organic compound
Concentrations of flame retardants (µg/g)* in bulk samples of the burn room furnishings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound measured</th>
<th>Carpet padding (n = 3)</th>
<th>Curtain liner (n = 1)</th>
<th>Foam from inner spring mattress (n = 2)</th>
<th>Foam topper for bed (n = 2)</th>
<th>Head-board padding (n = 1)</th>
<th>Chair cushion (n = 2)</th>
<th>Chair cushion (n = 1)</th>
<th>Liner for chair cushion (n = 1)</th>
<th>Flat screen TV plastic (n = 1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polybrominated diphenyl ethers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.74</td>
<td>5,600</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 4.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 1.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 99</td>
<td>0.11 - 0.56</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.44</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 2.9</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 100</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.6</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 3.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 153</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 5.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 2.0</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 13</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 154</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.69</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 5.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 183</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 1.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 2.0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 206</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 14</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 6.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 209</td>
<td>0.41 - 102</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 61</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.68</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other brominated flame retardants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBBPA</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBB</td>
<td>0.38 - 3.2</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 7.5</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>26,750</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBPH</td>
<td>0.22 - 5.7</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 1.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 3.7</td>
<td>5,800</td>
<td>6,380</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDPE</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 0.53</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organophosphate flame retardants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>59 - 630</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 1.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDCPP</td>
<td>240 - 9,100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>0.43 - 3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.16 - 0.23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1 - 1.3</td>
<td>1,690</td>
<td>1,400 - 7,380</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Flame retardants analyzed but not listed (BDE-28, BDE-66, TBBPE, and HBCD) were not detected (< 0.1 µg/g)

TBBPA = tetrabromobisphenol-A, TBB = 2-ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-tetrabromobensoate, TBPH = 2-ethylhexyl 2,3,4,5-tetrabromophthalate, DBDPE = decabromodiphenyl ethane, TCEP = tris (2-chloroethyl) phosphate, TCPP = tris (1-chloro-2-propyl) phosphate, TDCPP = tris (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate, TPP = triphenyl phosphate, TCP = tricresyl phosphate
Flame retardant air concentrations (µg/m$^3$) measured from living room during active fire and from initial burn room (bedroom) during overhaul on 6/25/15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound measured</th>
<th>Fire period</th>
<th>Overhaul period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDE 47</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 85</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 99</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 100</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 153</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 154</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 183</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 206</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 209</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBBPA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBB</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBPH</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDPE</td>
<td>&lt; 0.17</td>
<td>&lt; 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCPP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDCPP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.25</td>
<td>&lt; 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photo by NIST
HCN and VOC air concentrations (ppm) measured from within living room during active fire and from within initial burn room (bedroom) during overhaul on 6/25/15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound measured</th>
<th>Fire period</th>
<th>Overhaul period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>IDLH 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&gt; STEL 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0004</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Above 8-hr NIOSH REL (0.1 ppm)**
**Below NIOSH STEL (1 ppm)**

REL = recommended exposure limit, STEL = short-term exposure limit, IDLH = immediately dangerous to life and health
Air concentrations of VOCs (ppm) measured in the fireground (south of Engine 1) on 6/27/15 and 6/30/15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound measured</th>
<th>6/27/2015</th>
<th>6/30/2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0004</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0008</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Below applicable short-term exposure limits

Downwind of diesel exhaust

Downwind of smoke plume
Surface contamination levels (ng/100 cm²) of total PAHs measured from one set of gear before and after decon (6/22/15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-fire</th>
<th>Post-fire</th>
<th>Post-decon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 300*</td>
<td>3.800†</td>
<td>&lt; 300*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Based on limit of detection for fluoranthene.
† Sum of 15 PAHs; PAH measurements below their limit of detection were assigned zero values.

Surface contamination levels (ng/100 cm²) of flame retardants measured from one set of gear after use in four fires (6/30/15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Post-fire (jacket)*</th>
<th>Post fire (right glove)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDE 47</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 85</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 99</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 100</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 153</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 154</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 154</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 183</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 206</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDE 209</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBBPA</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBB</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBPH</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBDPE</td>
<td></td>
<td>140</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCEP</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCPP</td>
<td>&lt; 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDCPP</td>
<td></td>
<td>190</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPP</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCP</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Quality control samples were 60–80% less than expected, so measurements may be underestimated.
Air concentrations of VOCs (ppb) measured off-gassing from gear pre-fire, post-fire, and post-decon during the first scenario (6/22/15) for one crew

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Measured</th>
<th>Deconned gear</th>
<th>Gear without decon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-fire</td>
<td>Post-fire*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 0.6</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 0.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylenes</td>
<td>&lt; 0.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Styrene†</td>
<td>&lt; 0.4</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Quality control samples were 50% less than expected, so measurements may be underestimated.
† Results based on calibration curve for toluene.

Air concentrations of HCN (ppb) measured off-gassing from gear pre-fire, post-fire, and post-decon during first and last scenarios for one crew.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Deconned gear</th>
<th>Gear without decon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-fire</td>
<td>Post-fire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First (6/22/15)</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last (6/30/15)</td>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Same approximate volume as apparatus cabin.
Next Steps

- **Dermal sampling results**
  - Did firefighters get PAHs on their skin?
  - Did the levels vary by position, tactic, and use of deconned PPE?
  - How effective were baby wipes at removing this contamination?

- **Biological monitoring results**
  - Did firefighters absorb PAH, VOCs, flame retardants, and dioxins and furans into their bodies?
  - Did the levels vary by position, tactic, use of deconned PPE, and skin cleaning?

*Once we have all the data, we can run statistical tests to determine the significance of our findings. STAY TUNED!*
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How to Protect Yourself

- To minimize inhalation of contaminants during fire response
  - Wear SCBA during knockdown, overhaul, and other activities where exposure to combustion products is possible
  - Remain upwind of fires if not directly involved in attack
    - If this cannot be done, wear SCBA
  - Remain upwind of apparatus diesel exhaust
How to Protect Yourself (cont’d)

- To minimize inhalation of contaminants off-gassing from gear
  - Doff gear before entering rehab area
  - Do not store or wear gear inside apparatus during ride back to station
  - Do not store gear in personal vehicles or living areas
How to Protect Yourself (cont’d)

- **To minimize skin absorption of contaminants**
  - Decon and/or launder turnout gear, fire hoods, and other equipment
  - Do not take gear/equipment home
  - Wash hand and neck skin immediately and shower ASAP after a fire response
FR’s—Legislation, Technical Committee’s and Industry Future

John Martell
President
Professional Fire Fighters of Maine

May 16, 2016
“The connection between fire fighting and cancer is real, and there is scientific data to support our position. But we cannot stop here—we must continue to learn more so we can prevent our members from contacting this horrible disease and help them if they do.”

— Harold Schaitberger, Gen. President, IAFF
Impact on FF Lives

Line of Duty Deaths 2002-2012

- Heart Disease: 56%
- Cancer: 22%
- Unknown-Other: 1%
- Asphyxiation: 5%
- Burn: 3%
- Infectious Disease: 2%
- Respiratory Arrest: 1%
- Stroke: 2%
- Trauma: 8%

http://www.iaff.org/
IAFF Involvement in Standards and Codes

- NFPA and ICC Codes and Standards Committees
- Importance of participating in the Process
- IAFF Commitment and Member Involvement
IAFF Involvement with Standards

• NFPA Technical Committee on Fire Tests

• Responsibility and Scope of the Committee

• Make up of the Committee
Direction of NFPA Flame Test Committee

• Currently Two Tests for Upholstered Furniture, NFPA 260 and NFPA 261
• Both Use Cigarettes Smoldering Ignition
• Proposed New Test-NFPA 277
• Primary Task Group Favoring Large Open Flame Test
• Secondary Task Group
• Likely to increase use of Flame Retardants
Safer Solutions to Meet Fire Tests

• Alternative methods using barriers.
• The Role of Sprinklers and Photoelectric Smoke Alarms.
• NFPA Standards Should Consider Consequences on Firefighter Health In Development of Fire Tests.
• Boston’s Enforcement of TB 133
California Has Now Enacted TB-117-2013.

Smoldering Fire Ignition Vs Open Flame.

Consumers have option to purchase non FR treated products.
Summary of the Toxic Substances Control Act


The Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976 provides EPA with authority to require reporting, record-keeping and testing requirements, and restrictions relating to chemical substances and/or mixtures. Certain substances are generally excluded from TSCA, including, among others, food, drugs, cosmetics and pesticides.

TSCA addresses the production, importation, use, and disposal of specific chemicals including polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), asbestos, radon and lead-based paint.
History of TSCA

• Passed in 1976.
• Regulates new and already existing chemical.
• Mandated to protect public from unreasonable risk of injury.
• TSCA specifically regulates polychlorinated biphenyl products (PCBs).
• Since inception roughly 22,000 new chemicals produced.
• Both House and Senate Have Passed Competing Bills.
Change in Position by Industry

• Petition before the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) to ban non-polymeric organohalogenated FR’s.

• Consumer and Industry pressure to change more towards a “green” product line.

• Some furniture manufacturers, large corporations and department stores have moved towards eliminating the use and sale of FR’s.
State Legislation

• Individual States, Not Congress, Has Led Fight to Remove Toxic FR’s

• Washington, Maine, Vermont Were in Early to Ban PBDE’s

• Recent Success in Washington DC And Minnesota

• Number of States Have Legislation Pending
Presumption Laws

• 34 States and 12 Provinces Have Rebuttable Presumption Laws For Cancer, Heart, Lung and Infectious Disease.

• Varied Success in Protecting and Compensating FF’s Who Become Injured

• Usually Related To A State’s Worker’s Compensation Laws.

• Federal Fire Fighters Have No Presumptions
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Firefighter Cancer Support Network

Bryan Frieders
President

May 19, 2016
Impact of Cancer in the Fire Service

- **Awareness**
  - No longer a mystery
  - Action is being taken at all levels
  - Proven nexus between cancer and firefighting

- **Train-the-Trainer Program**
  - Boston FD, Indianapolis FD, San Diego FR, Cal Fire
    - Program aimed specifically at cancer prevention
    - Includes sample SOP/SOG’s, station evaluations, best practices
Leadership

Labor/ Management relationship is the key to success

- Review practices and standards
  - Overhaul teams
  - Rehabilitation standards
  - Use of the SCBA
  - Care and maintenance of PPE
  - Station design and retrofit
  - Post fire operations (investigators)
Ongoing research

• Research projects addressing the following:
  • Female firefighters, and female specific cancer (San Francisco)
  • Non-White firefighters (IAPBFF Prostate Study)
  • Wildland firefighters
  • Ordinary exposures (EMS/ Apparatus operators)
  • Gear storage/ station ventilation
  • Biomarker analysis (University of Arizona)
  • Gear cleaning and maintenance
  • Medical screening exams
Moving Forward…

Together, we are making a difference

• FCSN Second White Paper (August 2016)
• IAFF Education and Awareness Video
• Redesign PPE
• Remove chemicals from furniture and products of combustion
• Gross decontamination at the scene
• Change the culture